

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTSCOMCAST OF MASSACHUSETTS
Plaintiff

V.

CIVIL ACTION

NICHOLAS LAPIER
DefendantNO. 05-30189-MAPORDER OF REFERENCE
FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

After consultation with counsel and after consideration of the various alternative dispute resolution programs (ADR) available, I find this matter appropriate for ADR and accordingly, refer this case to Magistrate Judge Charles B. Swartwood, III for the following ADR program:

<input type="checkbox"/> EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIATION
<input type="checkbox"/> MINI-TRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SUMMARY JURY TRIAL
<input type="checkbox"/> SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL MASTER
<input type="checkbox"/> PRIVATE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM	

Upon notification of the time and place designated for the proceeding, counsel are directed to be present with their clients or with appropriate settlement authority and to provide any written documentation which may be required for the particular ADR program. If counsel is engaged on trial or has any scheduling conflict and a continuance becomes necessary, the provider of the ADR program and other counsel should be advised of the conflict immediately.

December 15, 2005

DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CASE CATEGORY

Admiralty	<input type="checkbox"/>	Antitrust	<input type="checkbox"/>
Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract	<input type="checkbox"/>
Copyright/Trademark/Patent	<input type="checkbox"/>	Environmental	<input type="checkbox"/>
ERISA	<input type="checkbox"/>	FELA	<input type="checkbox"/>
Labor Relations	<input type="checkbox"/>	Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/>
Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/>	Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shareholder Dispute	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<u>XX</u>